{LING2.000} {ling2.sum}

## SUMMARY

F\_LING is a formal language based on the concept of holonic phrase ( or HOL ), a 4 word sentence, where the first word symbolises the semantic environment .

The holonic phrase , preceded by 2 words ( the symbols of the initial phrase and of the HOL ), is memorised in a repository REP , the constancy of the number of words ( 2+4 ) in each sentence is very helpfull.

Gul

The main purpose is to show how induction can be accomplished.

## SOMMAIRE

F\_LING est un langage formel base' sur le concept de phrase holonic ( ou HOL ), une phrase qui a 4 mots , dont le premier symbolise le contexte semantic .

La phrase holonic , precedee de 2 mots ( les symbols de la phrase initial et du HOL ), et memorisee dans une base de phrases REP , la constance du nombre de mots ( 2+4 ) de chaque phrase est tres util .

L'objectif principal est de montrer l'apptitude de F\_LING a' l'induction .

### SUMARIO

F\_LING e' uma linguagem formal baseada no conceito de frase holonica ( ou HOL ) , uma frase com 4 palavras , sendo a primeira o simbolo do contexto semantico .

- A frase holonica, precedida de 2 palavras ( os simbolos da frase inicial e do HOL ), e' memorisada num repositorio REP , a constancia do numero de palavras ( 2+4 ) de cada frase e' muito util .
- O principal objectivo e' mostrar a aptidao de F\_LING a' induccao .

\* F\_LING \*

Autor : Antonio G.Portela (I.S.T.) .

A) Intrduction.

1 \* F\_LING is a formal language based on the concept of "holonic phrase" , (HOL) , a 4 word declaration (tetrad), which is considered the simplest structure conveying some information e.g. #

HOL\_z Plv0 Plv1 Plv2 Plv3

The "holonic phrase" , HOL\_z , informs :

- , there is a "nexus" between the words Plv1 and Plv3 , and ( Plv1 , Plv3 ) is an oriented pair of words .
- . the "nexus" is named Flv2 and , in general ,there are many other pairs having the same nexus .
- . the triad Plv1 Plv2 Plv3 is valid in the semantic context or referencial , symbolised by Plv0 .

Example: HOL\_z Jack Mary is\_maried\_to John .

- . "  $HOL_z$  " is the symbol of the "holonic phrase" .
- . "Jack " has made the following declaration , " Mary is maried to John " .
- " Jack " is also the symbol given to the semantic context .
- . there is a "nexus" between " Mary " and " John "
- . ( " Mary " , " John " ) is an oriented pair .
- \* " is\_maried\_to " is the word that symbolises the above refered nexus .

Note that the characters S D V C are used sometimes in the text instead of the indices 0, 1, 2, 3.

2 \* F\_DIALOG (viz. Ref. 5) is a liguistic instrument that enables the dialog with F\_LING , using idiomatic language instead of a specific one .

The main functions of F\_DIALOG are :

- . Elimination of ambiguities .
  - A dialog with the user takes place and terminates when F\_DIALOG considers the "ambiguous idiomatic phrase" clarified .

The dialog may not take place if the idiomatic phrase is ----"clear" , not ambiguous , from the point of view of F\_DIALOG.

 De-articulation of the "clarified phrase" in one or more "holonic phrases".

This operation is performed without loss of

"information" and it is possible to revert (reconstruct) an idiomatic phrase with the same "information content" of the original clarified idiomatic phrase .

Placing all the "holonic phrases" in a "repository" (REP). The standart form of an HOL in REP is : Frs\_x Hol\_z Plv0 Plv1 Plv2 Plv3

. Frs\_x is the symbol of the "clarified idiomatic phrase".

. Hol\_z is the symbol of one of the "holonic phrases" derived from Frs\_x by F\_DIALOG.

- # Creating a diccionary (DIC) , with all the words given to or created by F\_DIALOG
- . Revise REP and DIC whenever necessary .

Both , REP and DIC are "indefinitely" expansible .

Finaly , F\_DIALOG "asks" how sure PLVO is about the statement made and , if possible , a value in an appropriate "credible\_scale" is requested . F\_DIALOG "asks" also a "credible\_value" regarding PlvO (the semantic context) .

3 \* Examples : Example 1 :

\*Frs\_A :: "the coordinates of point P are 235 , 29, 4 " After clarification of Frs\_A , one obtains : "the Base of the space is Base\_0. Frs a II "235, 29 and 4 correspond , in Base\_0 , to the second , third and first coordinates , respectively ." After de-articulation of Frs\_a , the following "holonic phrases " are obtained ... Frs\_a Hol\_1 Base\_0 4 first\_coord. is 235 Frs a Hol\_2 Base O is second\_coord. Frsa\_a Hol\_3 Base\_O 29 is third coord. %Frs\_B :: " Base\_0 is a base for a 3 dimensional linear ortogonal space ( 3\_Orto\_Space ) and all coordinates are given in meters ". The de\_articulation , of Frs\_B gives : Frs\_B Hol\_4 3\_Orto\_Space Base\_0 is a\_Base meter Frs\_B Hol\_\_5 Base\_0 is 1\_coord\_unit Frs\_B Base\_O meter 2\_coord\_unit Hol\_6 is Base\_0 Frs\_B Hol\_7 meter is 3\_coord\_unit Example 2 : Frs\_J1 "John said that Peter is tall" Frs A4 "Anton said that Peter is small" "Anthropologist said that John is a pygmy" Frs\_P1 Frs\_Q3 " ??? Anton plays basketball " Can be converted as follows : Frs J1 John\_point\_of\_view Peter tall is Frs A4 Anton point of view Peter is small Frs\_P1 Antrop.\_statement John is a\_pygmy Frs\_Q3 X ?? Anton plays basketball Example 3 🕫 Frs X2 " Mary said if John is gambling then his spending is great " Converts to : gambling Frs\_X2 Ho1\_24 Mary\_statem. John is 80 0 0 0 Frs\_X2 Hol\_25 John\_spend. is Mary\_statem. great 80. · Ō Ō ο. . Frs\_X2 Hol\_25 . Mary\_statem. Ho1\_24 implies Ho1 25 80 75 0 Ō Obs.: "Mary" is highly credible (80) . The implication (Hol\_26) is judged by "Mary" as highly credible (75) . But the credible\_value of both Hol\_24 and Hol\_25 is not given by Mary in Frs\_x2 . REPOSITORIES . All information, converted in holonic phrases (HOL) , is.

archived in the repository (REF) and all words employed are listed in the dictionary (DIC) , both can be indefinitely increased and revised .

Several types of sub-repositories can be extracted from REP, selecting in REP all the holonic phrases, HOLs, that possesse a given set of "words" in a given set of "places" in the HOL .

The normalised form of an holonic frase in REP is : FRS\_\_HOL\_\_\_PLV.S\_\_PLV.D\_\_\_FLV.V\_\_\_FLV.C\_\_

where :

B)

FRS\_ reference to the original phrase . HOL\_ simbol of the holonic phrase . PLV.S\_ semantic context.

PLV.D\_ element of the domain of the "nexus" .  $PLV.V_{-}$  designation of the "nexus" . FLV.C\_ element of the range of the "nexus" . 1) Type REF X (1 constrain) . There are 4 distinct cases and depending on de meaning of X , ( X : S, D, V, C ) , the following sets are given : REP S # the semantic contexts PLV.S\_ . REP\_D : the domain elements FLV.D\_ . REP\_V : REP\_C : the verbs FLV.V\_ . the range elements PLV.C\_ . REF\_X\_Y 2) Type (2 constrains) . There are 6 distinct cases , e.g. : REP\_S\_D , REP\_S\_V , REP\_S\_C , REP\_D\_V , REP\_D\_C , REF\_V\_C. Note that :  $REP_X_Y = REP_Y_X$  and  $REP_X_X$  is meaningless. 3) Type REP\_X\_Y\_Z (3 constrains). There are 4 distinct cases , e.g. : REP\_S\_D\_V , REP\_S\_D\_C , REP\_S\_V\_C , REF\_D\_V\_C . 4) Type REP\_X\_Y\_Z\_W (4 constrains) . There is at most 1 case that corresponds to a well defined HOL but this type of sub repository may be an empty set . Some sub\_rep are much used and should be "constructed" before starting solving a given problem , as for example : ( with : S = S1...Sn ) : REF\_S This type is useful to solve problems , where only the set of semantic contexts S1...Sn are of interest . It may be more expedite to create, previously, a sub\_rep of the type REP\_S . REP\_S\_V (with : V = Vv and S = Ss, St): This type of sub\_rep. may be useful to study the verb Vv in the semantic contexts Ss and St . REP\_S\_V\_C (with : C = Cc , V = Vv and S = Sa. Sq): To endow the set Dv ( domain of Vv ) with a topologic structure , this type of sub\_repositories are well addapted . . All these sub\_rep. , may configurate a "list of addreses" of the holonic phrases (HOL) archived in REP.. The amount of memory used is much reduced but the access to REP is inderect . Primitive and Derived concepts . C) The primitive concepts in F\_LING are the holonic-phrases HOL and the words PLV , which are archived respectively in the repository REP and the dictionary DIC . Concepts like set, multiples, elements of a set etc. are derived concepts and a presentation of some typical derived concepts is appropriate : 1) SET (CJT). All sub\_repositories presented in Cap B are sub\_sets of REP and REP is the "universal\_set" of HOL . The void (or empty) set and REP are sets . The diccionary DIC is the "universal\_set" of words (PLV) and there is a word "is\_member\_of" , a verb, with which a very important type of HOL can be created , namely : FRS\_f HOL\_h D\_x is\_a\_member\_of C\_c . Building the REP based on  $D_x$  is\_member\_of C\_c , all  $D_x$ retained configurate a set, eventualy an empty one, that is a sub\_set of the diccionary DIC . The symbol of a set is CJT and the above refered set of words can be represented as follows :  $CJT(C_c) = \{D_x : D_n , \dots, D_w\}$ 

or = { $D_x$  ; FRS\_f HOL\_h  $D_x$  is\_a\_member\_of C\_c}, the first mode is a simple listing of words , the second is based on the operator "is\_a\_member\_of" that enables the construction of the set .

2) RELATIONS (REL) .

A relation can be exhaustively represented by the set of all pairs ( nexus between 2 words ) that are members of a certain verb  $\nabla v$ , ( sub\_rep. of the type REP\_ $\nabla v$ ). The list may include nexus in various semantic-environments. The problem arises when the relation REL has infinite nexus and the exhaustive representation is not feasable. Infinite sets will be delt in cap. E. The strict\_order\_relation ( >= ) will be used as an example : Let CJT = { a, b, ..., n } be a set and >= a verb . In REP there are the following holonic\_phrases :

H\_mn n >= m

The method expounded avoids the use of "rules" and is based on simple declarations .

3) MULTIPLES (MLT) .

Let CJT>=C be an ordered set of sets CJT\_x : CJT>=C = {{ CJT\_a ... CJT\_n } >= } ;

- A MLT\_x of CJT>=C is any ordered set of elements of CJT\_x and x : [{ a ...n} >= ] .
- A MULT is thus an element of the cartesean product of CJT\_x of CJT>=C .
- 4) CONNECTIVES (CNT) .

Connective is the general designation of a class of relations used to endow a set with an algebric structure . In general a cartesean product is formed between the set and another set ( eventualy with the same set ) , and a relation is defined , the cartesean product beeing the domain and the set the range , e.g. : nax,min sup,inf +,\* union,conjuction etc. etc.

A very simple example, introducing the connective Max, follows : The given set is {0, 1}.

H_1	S_boole	0,0	is_an_ordered_pair_of	Cart.Frod.
H_2	<b>U</b> .	0,1	11	
Н_З	11	1,0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	μ,
H_4		1,1	<b>31</b>	II .
H_5	u	0,0	Max	Ο.
H_5		0,1	Max	1
H_7	u	1,0	Мах	1
Н_8		1,1	Max	1

5) FUNCTIONAL Relations (FUNC) . The domain and or the range represente Relations , as for instance : D=relation V=Funct. C=real Functional 2 V=Transf. C=relation D=relation Transform Distribution D=set V=Distr. C=relation .

5) OPERATORS (OPER). The standard form of a relation REL is a "table" of all the nexus which are members of REL ( D\_dom. V\_verb C\_range ). Another form of describing REL is by means of operatores : direct : (OP\_REL) , OP\_REL { D\_ } >>> C\_

5

inverse : (OP\_REL!) , OP\_REL! { C\_ } >>> D\_ . If these operators are given then the above refered "table"  $_{\star}$ is dispensable . The inverse relations are usefull in the topological structering of the domain set of REL . D) TRANSLATION (TRAD) . The basic concept of HQL is described by An ordered set of 4 words, a tetrad, ( S\_ D\_ V\_ C\_ ) , the first one S\_ symbolises the semantic environment or referential, the remaining triad (  $D\_$  V\_  $C\_$  ) is a declaration . If the referential or semantic environment  $D_{\perp}$  is substituted by d\_ , then the triad ( D\_ V\_ C\_ ) is converted or "translated" to ( d\_ v\_ c\_ ) , with the objective of conveying the same information : (S\_ D\_ V\_, C\_) == (s\_ d\_ v\_ c\_) A point P in a plane (R2) , is spatialy described by two reals (coordinates of de point P ) . Let the coordinates be : 20 (coord.1), 300 (coord.2) . This information would be incomplete , without an explicit mention to the "base" or referencial used , e.g. : S baseA 20 is coord.1 of P H 1 Н\_2 S baseA 300 is\_coord.2\_of P Two types of translation are here presented ; \* Literal or word\_to\_word translation . S\_baseA translates\_to H\_11 S\_baseB .H\_12 20 40 H\_13 300 11 150 H\_1 and H\_2 are "translated" as follows : H\_1\_t S\_baseB 40 is\_coord.1\_of H\_2\_t S\_baseB 150 is\_coord.2\_of S\_baseB 40 is\_coord.1\_of P F \* Semantic or phrase\_to\_phrase translation . translates\_to H\_1\_t translates\_to H\_2\_t H\_si H\_1 H\_52 Н\_2 translates\_to In general , these two methods yield diferent results , but are equivalent, in formal languages . The inverse translation does not reproduce the inicial holonic phrases , in general . Again it is considered importante the existence of an inverse operation in formal languages . E) INFINITE SETS (continuum) . Finite processors are unable to deal with the continuum and the usual method consists in the conversion of the continuum in a descrete form . A table of circular functions is a descrete image of the continuous functions it represents . The output of a program that computes a circular function is also descrete . The choice between using more memory or consuming more time in computation is an economical problem but not a conceptual one . The "coarsenness" of a table can be reduced by interpolators. In the following , the method of obtaining a descrete image of the continuum will be considered adequate to the type of problem to be solved and this assumption is applicable both to tables and operators (hard or soft) . F) CREDIBILITY . Credibility is fundamental in F\_LING . As this mater is expounded in ref. 1 , a sumarised reference is deemed sufficient .

To the tetrad of words corresponds a tetrad of reals belonging to the closed set [-1 , 1] :

The absolute value measures the credibility :

0 ( crebility nil ) ; 1 ( Total credibility )
Negative numbers stand for "negation" , e.g. ;
- 1 means totaly false .

A set of functions over the set of tetrads yields the credibility of the set "

## G) DEDUCTION & INDUCTION .

Bil) Introductory example :
 \*Deduction .

The verb "is\_married\_to"  $(i_m_t)$  is declared a symmetrical relation e.g.: if  $(X \ i_m_t \ Y)$  then  $(Y \ i_m_t \ X)$  or H\_1 X i\_m\_t Y ; H\_2 Y i\_m\_t X ; H\_12 H\_1 implies H\_2 . Thus, the declaration :  $(A \ i_m_t \ B)$  and the symmetry of the  $(i_m_t)$  relation , permits to infer :  $(B \ i_m_t \ A)$  . This is a typical deduction , the total information in REP has not been increased , a theorem demonstration was performed .

\*Induction 🔒

A process of induction applied to the study of properties of the verb  $(i_m_t)$  may be described by the following succession of steps :

Data Retrieving regarding (i\_m\_t) .

Find in REP, all the HOLs where  $(i_m_t)$  is the verb . The following list ,(A) , was obtained :

Al i\_m\_t B6 , A2 i\_m\_t B5 , A4 i\_m\_t B3 , B5 i\_m\_t A2 , B7 i\_m\_t A3 , B3 i\_m\_t A4 .

2 Looking for Symmetries in list A .

The processor being endowed with the capacity and the initiative to test various concepts, namely the symmetry of relations, yields the list (B) of all pairs of symmetric HOLs contained in list (A) :

((A2 i\_m\_t B5) and (B5 i\_m\_t A2))

- ((A4 i\_m\_t B3) and (B3 i\_m\_t A4))
- 3 Conjecture propounding . The 2 cases of symmetry of

The 2 cases of symmetry observed and the non existence of counter-examples , may be considered enough to posit the following conjecture : (i\_m\_t) is symmetric .

For the Conjecture . From now on, every new HOL containing (i\_m\_t) as a verb will be tested for symmetry (step 2) . If some new cases are detected and no counter\_examples

have arisen , then the conjecture is converted in a "finding" and (i\_m\_t) is declared a symmetrical verb and a new rule is included in REP .

\*After step 4 , the symmetry of (i\_m\_t) , now a rule , can be used in deductive processes whenever needed . Eventualy, new findings may imply the revision of the rule. The development of the theme , inductive processes ,follows . G2 Endowment of faculties .

All living things (biots) inherit and or aquire "faculties" essencial to perform the species tasks .

The construction of a computer language addapted to a certain species must take in account these faculties .

It would be senseless to provide the computer language with means to describe 3-dimensional objects , if the biots of the species are blind and deaf .

But if a given species is endowed with the faculty of sensing the magnetic inclination , the language should

provide concepts like : "up and down" , distance and velocity and the necessary rules to process the information aquired and appropriate to an one dimentional linear space, with the usual topology and the usual operators : order\_relation , connectives (+ , \*), scalar derivatives , etc..

Humans are endowed with so many faculties by heritage and further developed by learning, that evan a modern natural language and all formal language so far developed are insufficient to emulate a human being, adequately.

- The method usualy adopted is to provide a basic set of formal procedures and functions , e.g. :
  - A set of characteres with a finite cardinal and a strict order relation and 2 connectives .
  - A set of numbers with a finite cardinal and two not closed connectives ( + , \* ) , a mimic of the integer numbers .
  - . A set of numbers , emulating imperfectly the reals .

. A set of predefined functions , on the reals .

- . Input and output devises .
- The basic set of procedures and rules can be increased, including other specialised functions and or structured sets .

This final set ( basic and specialised ) represents the "inherited faculties" of the \_\_\_\_\_\_artifact (processor) and emulates a certain "species" real or imagined .

G3 Paradigms and Reconnoitre .

Formal algebic and topological structures and concepts were developed mostly because they serve as models or paradigms .

Natural and formal languages are essencially an antropomorphic artifact , adjusted to and inspired in the faculties and atributes of the human species .

Paradigms can be confronted or compared with the atributes
 of the real or imaginary objects and beings . e.g. :
 counting == cardinal of set ; natural numbers .
 precedence (in a tribe or table) == order\_relation .
 stereocopic vision == distance, eccart, proximity .
 cup to contain a liquid == concave ( convex).
 straight line, vertical, horizontal == linear spaces .
 biologic clocks, sideral time == time.

The atributes of a paradigm are invariant and all theorems and inferences are also invariably valid .

If an "object" conforms well with a paradigm then "all" atributes of the paradigm can be applied to the "object" The pair ( paradigm , to\_"recnnoitre"\_it ) represents a

faculty, inherited or learned, by the artifact and the two are usefull only as a pair.

Step 2 , looking for symmetries , is only feasable if the processor is endowed with the pair :

(paradigm symmetry , procedure to reconnoitre symmetry )

64 Conjectures propounding (step\_3) .

The artifact (processor) , at each stage of evolution , possesses a set of pairs (Sprp) , of the form : (Paradigm , Reconnoitre procedure) or (P,RP)

In REP , there are holonic phrases (HOL) that can be compared with a Faradigm  $(F_k)$  by means of a Reconnoitre

procedure  $(RP_k)$ , the result is given by an element of the range of  $(RP_k)$ .

Usualy the range(RP\_k) is the set (Yes , No) , but other sets can be contemplated , e.g. : (yes, undecided, no) .

Systhematicaly or randomly, the processor takes the iniciative to proceed with a reconnaissance of a pair (P\_k,RP\_k), belonging to the set (Sprp).

The successes and unsuccesses are registered and a decision functor (FC) is provided , to enable the processor to propound the paradigm  $P_k$  as a conjecture .

65 Conjectures testing ( step 4 ) .

Step 4 is mainly designed to test the conjecture , giving time to confirm or infirm the conjecture .

A functor (FT) is provided to perform this function .

Step 4 can be eliminated if the functor (FC) , refered in

64 , and functor (FT) are composed in one (FCT) . (FCT) declares the finding a "rule" , jumping step 3 .

67 Another example .

This example presents another aspect of the induction processe and is based on simple generalisation or extention of the domain of application of a rule .

John sees a dog(B) bite Jack and declares : H\_23 John dog(B) bites Jack .

The functor (FCT) may suggest "rules" , like :

- dog(B) bites Humans, or
- all dogs bite Jack , or

all dogs bite all humans, etc. etc.

The generalisation is a "risk avoider" posture , that has saved many human lives .

The functor declares universal what as been observed once . Eventualy, later, the rule may be disproved so many times, that dogs have to be classified in bad and good dogs and the domain of the rule is reduced to bad dogs .

- 67 Conclusion .
  - The final result of steps 1 to 4 is the proclamation of a "new rule" by the artifact (processor). This rule was not given by an external source, namely by the human operator
  - A regular application of the inductive method , using all the pairs (P\_k, RP\_k) members of Srpr , will generate eventualy more "rules" , following the introduction of new holonic phrases (HOLs) in the repository REP .

If the repository is "frosen" , that is no "new HOLs" are intruced in REF , then , after some time , the production of "new rules" stops .

Human progress is a long story of disproved conjectures : The Earth is flat and is in the center of the world. The space dimention is : 3, later 4, now more then 4. The atom , an undividable body, is dividable . etc. etc.

The statement "all rules are true" is acceptable , provided, the domain of the rule may be an empty set ! .

H) Conclusion .

Humans do not create "ab nihil" , the same applies to human artifacts and to F\_LING in particular .

\* F\_LING starts with a Repository REP\_0 , a Diccionary DIC\_0 , a set of hard\_ware capacities HW\_0 .

. Hols describing rules ( functions , procedures etc.). DIC\_0 contains some initial words .

\* The development of F\_LING results of the contact with the "outside world", by means of the input\_output devices. The information received, after interpretation, is stored in REP. 10

- By induction, new rules are created , increasing the avaiable information .
- If needed , declarations not contained explicitely in REP can be deducted from the avaiable data , using the rules thar are in force .

F\_LING can also be developed by introducing in Sprp\_0 new pairs (P\_, RP\_) , that will increase the induction capacity of the processor and new rules will be uncovered .

I am gratefull to Professors Martins, Morgado and H.Coelho for their comments but the responsibility for all errors and misconceptions ,the paper may contain , is mine .

# REFERENCES .

- 1 N.BOURBAKI . Actualites Scientifiques et Industrielles , 1957.
- 2 B.RUSSEL, Introduction to Mathematical Philosophy, George Allen & Unwin Ltd, 1930 .
- 3 L.A.ZADEH, Fuzzy Sets , Information and Control , 8, 338 - 353 , 1965 .
- 4 M.EYTAN, Fuzzy Sets : A Topos\_Logical Point of View, Fuzzy sets and Systems, Vol. 5, n.1, 47-67, 1981.

5 A.G.PORTELA , Dialogos , Inteligencia Artificial , 1988, vol.0, No.2 .